Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/705,582	MCCOLGAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) Robert P. Swiatek.	(3)	
(2) Mr. William S. Gottschalk.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23, 24 August 2005	Time: <u></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 35 USC 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 1, 8		
Prior art documents discussed: Reese et al. (EP 0 326 950); Army Jr. et al. (US 5,133,194)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Applicants' representative authorized amendments to claims amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a attention at the record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)